

SECTOR PROGRAMME SUPPORT DOCUMENT

AGRICULTURAL SECTOR PROGRAMME SUPPORT TANZANIA

Natural Resources Conservation and Land Use Management Project
Phase III
(HIMA - Iringa)

Iringa Region
Tanzania

This report contains
restricted information
and is for official use only

Ref.No.104 Tanz.203

Updated March 1999

I/1/Proj.Doc/99/1

PROJECT DOCUMENT

Country	:	Tanzania	Sector :	Agriculture
Title	:	Iringa soil and Water Conservation Project		
Title of SPSD	:	Agriculture Sector Support to Tanzania		
Cooperating agency	:	Iringa District Council (Prime Minister's Office)		
Duration	:	Five years		
Starting date	:	January 1998		
Overall budget	:	18.05 Million DKK.		
Description	:			

The project intends to increase villagers ability to improve their agricultural production and forest resource management on sustainable basis and their capability to identify needs, problems and solution in a participatory manner. This includes the improvement of the incomes of poorer male as well as female farmers.

Income enhancing activities such as improved marketing and input supply possibilities, preferably through formation of farmers groups, will be given due consideration.

The project will continue to undertake environmental conservation activities based on previous experiences and studies on water monitoring, soil and water conservation and forest reserves.

Gender issues is an important aspect of the project including emphasis on reduction of women work load and increase of their access to resources and improved income. There will be a separate fund for this issue (Women Mazingira Fund). Special attention will be paid to the involvement of women in planning and implementation of the project activities.

The participatory approach and democracy aspect will be secured through planning and implementation activities that will ensure that villagers views, needs and feelings are given due consideration and a spirit of trust built between them and the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
IRINGA DISTRICT MAP	iii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS	iv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CONTEXT	2
2.1 Socio-Economic Context and National Policies	2
2.2 Sector Objective, Policies and activities	3
2.3 Sector Set-up and Stakeholders	3
2.4 Donor Supported Activities and Assistance Coordination	5
2.5 Danida Policies in Relation to the HIMA Project	5
3. VISIONS, OPPORTUNITIES AND OBSTACLES	6
3.1 Visions and Opportunities	6
3.2 Problems, Obstacles and Barriers	8
4. STRATEGIC CHOICE AND RISK ASSESSMENT	11
4.1 Options considered for the Project	11
4.2 Options considered for Danida support to the Project	13
4.3 Project Justification	13
4.4 Uncertainties and Risks	15
5. GOVERNMENT INPUTS TO AND MANAGEMENT OF THE PROJECT	16
5.1 Government Inputs to the Project	16
5.2 Government Management of the Project	16

6.	LOGICAL FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS	17
	DANIDA'S ASSISTANCE	
6.1	Development Objective	17
6.2	Immediate Objectives	17
6.3	The Outputs	17
6.4	Activities Outline	19
6.5	Inputs by Danida	23
6.6	The Budget	25
6.7	Assumptions and Preconditions	26
6.8	Indicators and Means of Verifications	26
7.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES	27
7.1	Organization, Management and Administration	27
7.2	Monitoring and Review, Reporting and Evaluation	29
7.3	Financial Management and Accountability	29
7.4	Project Implementation Plan	30

ANNEXES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HIMA Iringa project is covering issues such as poverty alleviation, gender aspects and special attention to women specific problems, sustainable agricultural production and environmental protection activities and is based on a participatory approach, involving the main target group, the small scale farmers, in planning, decision making and implementation of project activities.

The major activities of the project is carried out within the fields of agriculture, forestry and community development. Soil and water conservation, soil fertility improvement, crop diversification, on-farm seed production, small animals production, fruit production and home gardening activities and reduction of post harvest losses are important agricultural activities. In addition, support to improvement of income and marketing opportunities are provided. Forest activities includes establishment of individual nurseries and village woodlots, community based management plans for government and district forest areas and beekeeping activities. Women specific issues are addressed by support to reduction of women workload, to income generating activities for women and by increasing awareness on land tenure and land rights aspects. Capacity and institutional building on village level and training of farmers, extension and district staff is furthermore important issues.

Iringa Soil and Water Conservation (HIMA) Project was initiated in 1989/90 and activities have gradually been implemented in 40 villages up to the end of the second phase, December 1997. During the first 8 years of the project, there has been great progress in natural resources conservation and soil and water conservation.

About 700 small scale nurseries for tree seedlings have mushroomed out of which 105 are at schools outside the project area. Thus, a spill over effects has been observed as all 66 villages in the current project area (Mazombe and Kilolo divisions) and schools in divisions outside Kilolo and Mazombe are now engaged in afforestation activities. Since inception of the project about 5.7 mio. seedlings have been raised and planted in 3,700 hectares. Nearly 300 km of contour bands have been constructed and almost 700 hectares of farmland conserved. 80 sub-catchment have been identified and 56 local wells have been constructed.

An increasing number of villages, which have been supported by HIMA, are now preparing relevant and consistent village plans on their own. Furthermore, certain community activities such as minor road repairs, tree planting programmes and construction of wells are to an increasing extent organised and implemented by the villagers themselves. Thus, institutional sustainability is gradually being obtained at village level.

Since there has been a good impact of the project activities in the two divisions, the activities should be expanded as follows:

1. In villages, where the project has been operating for more than 5 years, procedures for scaling down of project supported activities should be introduced.
2. The 26 villages which were not covered in phase I and II should be covered in the third phase.

3. The project will expand its activities to cover Isimani division.
4. Activities, which have proven viable in implementation, like tree nurseries can be implemented in villages outside the priority divisions during the third phase.
5. Training of extension staff in agriculture, community development and forestry activities should in future include all such staff in the district as a means to disseminate information to the whole district.
6. Women and women headed households will still get special attention when addressing the needs of the poor.

The project assumes that the Tanzanian government and particularly the District Council is able to secure sufficient manpower of extension and support staff to the project. Project staff and farmers need motivation and continuity to enable the project to appropriately address poverty reduction issues, gender equality, improved agricultural production and environmental sustainability.

Some constraints have also been experienced during the course of carrying out project activities. This include absence of a clear strategy on how the project can reduce its support to 'older' project villages and consolidate the support to the new project villages. This has resulted in a favouring of old villages.

Risks for the project include drastic government staff retrenchment in Iringa, particularly in the line departments, institutional and attitudinal barriers to team building and to a multi-sectoral approach in extension work; unrealistic expectations among villagers and their leaders, and inability of the project to develop/disseminate technologies which address basic constraints facing the environment and which can lead to improvement in farmer's living condition.

Outputs in this document were revised re-formulated, made more clear and cohesive. The Annual Review Mission (February 1999) approved the outputs in Annex 4.